



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_6873
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, Identification and Optimization of Cultural Conditions of Bacillus sp. for Alkalo-thermostable Amylase Production
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	All together the article is with basic work. The work can be even more extended. The proposed status of the article is good and the author has very well discussed their inferences. Its a Good team work.	Further work is going on in the laboratory.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Kindly stick on to the rules for references in the running text according to the journal and correct the mistake in line 37 (<i>Bacillus</i> sp.) and in the line 289 – no page no given	1. Correction has been made in line: 38 (now) as <i>Bacillus</i> sp. strain PM1. 2. In line: 301 (before revision 289), this article has only one page i.e. 2260. <u>Therefore no changes can be made.</u>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	After minor corrections paper can be published.	